UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

BRIAN BOYKINS,

Petitioner,	Civil No. 2:13-CV-12768	
v.	HONORABLE SEAN F. COX UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUI	DGE
ROBERT NAPEL,		
Respondent,		

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION [DKT. # 33], AND DENYING A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY OR LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

This Court denied the petition for writ of habeas corpus that had been filed by petitioner pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, declined to issue a certificate of appealability, and denied petitioner leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. *Boykins v. Napel*, No. 2:13-CV-12768, 2017 WL 4778704 (E.D. Mich. Oct. 23, 2017).

Petitioner has filed a motion for reconsideration, which is DENIED.

E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(h) governs motions for reconsideration. Under that rule, "[t]he movant must not only demonstrate a palpable defect by which the court and the parties . . . have been misled but also show that correcting the defect will result in a different disposition of the case." E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(h). "[T]he court will not grant motions for rehearing or reconsideration that merely present the same issues ruled upon by the court, either expressly or by reasonable implication." *Id*.

In his motion for reconsideration, petitioner advances a number of arguments in support of his claims that he was denied the effective assistance of counsel. The Court, however, considered and rejected all of these arguments when it denied the petition. Petitioner's motion for

reconsideration will be denied, because petitioner is merely presenting issues which were already

ruled upon by this Court, either expressly or by reasonable implication, when the Court denied

petitioner's habeas application and declined to issue a certificate of appealability or leave to appeal

in forma pauperis. See Hence v. Smith, 49 F. Supp. 2d 547, 553 (E.D. Mich. 1999).

A certificate of appealability is required to appeal the denial of a motion for reconsideration

in a habeas case. See e.g. Amr v. U.S., 280 F. App'x. 480, 486 (6th Cir. 2008). This Court will deny

petitioner a certificate of appealability, because jurists of reason would not find this Court's

resolution of petitioner's motion for reconsideration to be debatable. The Court further concludes

that petitioner should not be granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, as any appeal

would be frivolous. See Fed.R.App. P. 24(a).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

(1) The motion for reconsideration [Dkt. # 33] is **DENIED.**

(2) A certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

(3) Petitioner is **DENIED** Leave to Appeal *In Forma Pauperis*.

Dated: January 12, 2018 s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge

I hereby certify that on January 12, 2018, the foregoing document was served on counsel of record

via electronic means and upon Brian Boykins via First Class mail at the address below:

Brian Boykins 187252

SAGINAW CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

9625 PIERCE ROAD

FREELAND, MI 48623

s/J. McCoy

Case Manager

2